

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 04 2007

FREDERICK H. GRIBBELL, LLC
10250 ALLIANCE ROAD, SUITE 120
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45242
FAX COVER SHEETTOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS SHEET: 4TO: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office - Art Unit 2609FAX NO.: (571) 273-8300

FROM: Frederick H. Gribbell ----- TELEPHONE NO.: (513) 891-2100

DATE: June 4, 2007CONFIRMING COPY: ☐ WILL FOLLOW; ☒ WILL NOT FOLLOW

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FAX IS INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' WORK PRODUCT AND/OR EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT (OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT), YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US BY COLLECT TELEPHONE.

SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS TRANSMISSION (OR HAVE INCORRECTLY RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION), PLEASE CALL (513) 891-2100.

ORIGINATING FAX NUMBER: (513) 891-2230

COMMENTS/MESSAGE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**JUN 04 2007****PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Mark Joseph Edwards : Art Unit: 2609
Serial No.: 10/619,239 : Examiner: Hilina Kassa
Filed: July 14, 2003

For: **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR RECORDING SOUND INFORMATION AND
PLAYING SOUND INFORMATION BACK USING AN ALL-IN-ONE PRINTER**

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND
ELECTION OF CLAIMS REQUIREMENTS**

Mail Stop Amendment
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In an Office Action mailed on May 21, 2007, the Examiner has imposed a restriction requirement as between six different species that essentially correspond to the independent claims. The species listed in the Office Action point to portions of the written description, on pages 5 through 7 of the application.

The Examiner has taken the position that these six species are for distinct inventions, and thus should be in separate patent applications. Applicant is now required to make an election as to which group of claims are to be substantively examined in the instant application.

Serial No.: 10/619,239

-1-

Attorney Docket: 2001-0941.01